IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ROCK HILL DIVISION

United States of America,) CRIMINAL NO. 0:07-503-CM
v.	OPINION and ORDER
Robert Wesley Raney,)
Defendant.))
)

This matter is before the court on request of the United States Probation Office (USPO) dated August 18, 2015, for termination of Defendant's supervised release. The USPO has notified this court that Defendant has been under supervision for eight (8) years without any major issues or violations, and that continued supervision is not necessary for the protection of the community.

Title 18 United States Code Section 3583(e) provides that

The court may, after considering the factors set forth in section 3553(a)(1), (a)(2)(B), (a)(2)(C), (a)(2)(D), (a)(4), (a)(5), (a)(6), and (a)(7)--

(1) terminate a term of supervised release and discharge the defendant released at any time after the expiration of one year of supervised release, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure relating to the modification of probation, if it is satisfied that such action is warranted by the conduct of the defendant released and the interest of justice

Considerations contained in § 3553 include, *inter alia*, the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant; the ability to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct; the need to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant; and the need to provide the defendant with needed educational or vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner. 18 U.S.C. § 3553.

"The plain language of the statute illustrates that § 3583(e), in the typical case, allows a

0:07-cr-00503-CMC Date Filed 08/26/15 Entry Number 35 Page 2 of 2

conduct-based inquiry into the continued necessity for supervision after the individual has served

one full year on supervised release." *United States v. Pregent*, 190 F.3d 279, 282-83 (4th Cir. 1999).

However, the statute

is not exclusively limited to considerations of conduct. The language of the statute notes that the district court 'may' terminate supervised release 'if it is satisfied that such action is warranted by the conduct of the defendant released and the interest of justice.' The phrase 'the interest of justice' does give the district court latitude to consider a broad range of factors in addition to an individual's behavior in

considering whether to terminate the supervised release period.

Id. at 283 (citation omitted).

Defendant suffers from severe symptoms of Asperger's Syndrome, and his symptoms are

exacerbated by his continued supervision by the USPO. However, despite this condition, Defendant

has been on supervision for almost eight (8) years without major issues or violations, and he has

been in compliance with the terms of supervision. Therefore, in the interest of justice, the request

for termination of supervised release is granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie

CAMERON MCGOWAN CURRIE

SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina

August 26, 2015

2